

Steven Pribramsky

From: ccaps97@aol.com
Sent: Saturday, October 06, 2007 10:30 AM
To: Steven Pribramsky
Subject: Re: Salary Schedules

Steve,

I find this whole thing to be another line of bullshit.

You can go and look for yourself. Go to the budget book and look at Coral Shores High School section, then go and look at the salaries for administration you will see Dave Murphy listed at \$76,594.10. That is for **Salary** only, He has 2 supplements listed on the supplement pages which are \$3,600 for extra duty and \$3,282.99 for bargaining unit.

Now if you look at the salary schedule for High School AP's you have the following:

Years of Experience	Base Salary
0	65,972
1	66,895
2	68,376
3	69,283
4	69,631
5	70,900
6	72,380
6+	73,981

Remember all of the above is for a 10 month schedule. So you don't see any number that coincides with his salary of \$76,594.10 do you? But if you take the 4 year AP salary of 69,631 and divide by 10 you get 6,963.10 per month. David is working 11 months so the salary then becomes:

10 month base	69,631
1 month add	6,963.1
Total	76,594.10

So as you can see he is being paid for 11 months, what the additional \$3,600 in the supplement is for who knows?

I have figured out the pay of all the AP's and 6 of them are on 11 month contracts and that is reflected in their **SALARY** just like it is for Dave and not in a supplement.

I repeat also that there are only 3 Ap's that have a supplement capable of being 5% (Dave, Kristy, and McPherson).

How did MAG get informed that AP's work 216 days?

This is all a big load of horse shit and I am getting tired of it can't anyone tell the truth around here?

Here you can check on some others just to see;

Chris Valdez:

Salary \$81,379.10 No such salary listed above but if you do this:

73,981	Top AP salary
7,398.1	1/10 of the above
81,379.10	SALARY HE IS PAID

How about Grace Willis,

Her **salary** is \$76,140.90, however she is a Elementary AP so we need the salary schedule for elementary AP's which is as follows;

0	63,627
1	65,248
2	66,729
3	67,635
4	67,983
5	69,219
6	70,700
6+	72,262

Again there is no salary listed equal to her \$76,140.19 but if we do the following;

5 year salary	\$69,219
1/10 or 1 month	6,921.9
	\$76,140.9 That equals the salary she is listed with.

Now she gets a \$2,300 supplement for who knows what, but lets see if it will fit into Daves formula.

$$76140.9 / 200 = 380.70$$

$$380.70 \times 210 = \$79,947 \text{ Nope that doesn't work}$$

Lets try on the base salary of 69,219 without the extra month

$$69,219 / 200 = 346.09$$

$$346.09 \times 210 = \$72,679.95 \text{ Well that doesn't work either.}$$

Steve they are trying to dazzle and baffle you with bullshit don't buy it.

Thanks,

Terhan

-----Original Message-----

From: Steven Pribramsky <steven@pribramskyzuelch.com>

To: ccaps97@aol.com

Sent: Fri, 5 Oct 2007 10:23 pm

Subject: FW: Salary Schedules

Here is the whole sequence of e-mails from Dave. I will talk to you later. Thanks for the Cay Clubs and Sloan stuff, I would say it's amazing but it's just our reality.

Steven Pribramsky
Pribramsky & Zuelch
937 Fleming Street
Key West, FL 33040
305.294.8137
305.294.1872 (Fax)

-----Original Message-----

From: Steven Pribramsky [mailto:steven@pribramskyzuelch.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2007 7:15 PM

To: 'Dave Murphy'

Subject: RE: Salary Schedules

Thanks for the analysis and this does help. What has me confused is that I do not remember agreeing to get rid of Ap supplements or extending the work year. I am under the impression that any changes adding, subtracting or changing compensation would come before the School Board. I appreciate the time you spent with me yesterday and I am confident that we can get this easily cleared up next Tuesday. Thanks again

Steven Pribramsky
Pribramsky & Zuelch
937 Fleming Street
Key West, FL 33040
305.294.8137
305.294.1872 (Fax)

-----Original Message-----

From: Dave Murphy [mailto:Dave.Murphy@KeysSchools.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2007 6:09 AM

To: Steven Pribramsky

Subject: FW: Salary Schedules

From: Dave Murphy
Sent: Thu 10/4/2007 6:06 AM
To: Steve Pribramsky
Subject: Salary Schedules

Duh - I answered the question when you were here without realizing it. Though I never did find the "new" salary schedule I realized this.

If you take the old schedule which goes on the assumption that everyone is a 10 month Assistant Principal and realize almost all assistant principals were working ten months plus ten days with the extra ten days based on their fully rate of pay as a supplement and you are getting rid of supplements then what you would logically have is have the same amount of days.

The extra days is exactly the difference between the old and what you have.

10/6/2007

pick. Hence the appearance of a 5% increase. Nothing really changed other than all ten day supplements go away and if there were any true ten month APs left then they are going to be sad that they have to work an additional ten days. This is obviously based on the assumption that the table made it clear that the length of the work year for APs was 210 days when it used to be 200. I suppose if there were APs working less than 210 then their pay would have to be adjusted downward from the table in the same fashion we used to create the supplement above the table. APs working 220 and beyond would still have the additional pay calculated as a supplement I would suppose.

$$\$65000 / 200 = \$325$$

$$\$325 \times 210 = \$68250$$

$$\$68250 - \$65000 = \$3250$$

$$\$3250 / \$65000 = .05 \text{ or } 5\%$$

Your an accountant - I am probably wasting your time! Hope this helps.

Email and AIM finally together. You've gotta check out free AOL Mail!